## Case 3:11-cv-01069-MEJ Document 14 Filed 08/11/11 Page 1 of 4

	I and the second				
1	THOMAS E. FRANKOVICH (State Bar No. 074414) THOMAS E. FRANKOVICH,				
2 3	A Professional Law Corporation 4328 Redwood Hwy., Suite 300 San Rafael, CA 94903				
4	Telephone: 415/674-8600 Facsimile: 415/674-9900				
5	Attorneys for Plaintiffs				
6	DAREN HEATHERLY and IRMA RAMIREZ				
7					
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
10	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION				
11					
12	DAREN HEATHERLY and IRMA RAMIREZ,	Case No.: CV 11-1069 MEJ			
13	Plaintiffs,	Unlimited Civil Matter			
14	v.	FIFTH STIPULATION EXTENDING			
15	MARISCO'S LA JAIBA; XU TRUONG and AHN HOANG, TRUSTEES OF THE	TIME FOR DEFENDANT XU TRUONG and ANH HOANG, TRUSTEES OF THE			
16	TRUONG/HOANG FAMILY TRUST, U.D.T.	TRUONG/HOANG FAMILY TRUST TO RESPOND TO PLAINTIFFS'			
17	dated March 18, 1997; and MIGUEL PELAYO MONTIEL, an individual dba MARISCO'S LA JAIBA,  COMPLAINT AND EXTENDING DATES IN SCHEDULING ORDER; AND [PROPOSED] ORDER THEREON				
18	Defendants.				
19					
20	Plaintiffs DARREN HEATHERLY AND IR	MA RAMIREZ (hereinafter "Plaintiffs") and			
21	Defendants MARISCO'S LA JAIBA (hereinafter "N	Marisco's"); XU TRUONG and ANH HOANG			
22	(erroneously sued herein as AHN HOANG), TRUS	TEES OF THE TRUONG/HOANG FAMILY			
23	TRUST, U.D.T. dated March 18, 1997 (hereinafter	"Defendant Truong"); and MIGUEL PELAYO			
24	MONTIEL, an individual dba MARISCO'S LA JAI	BA (hereinafter "Montiel"), by and through their			
25	respective counsel, respectfully request to make the following stipulation:				
26	1. WHEREAS, all Defendants have been served with the Summons and Complaint; and				
27	2. WHEREAS, Defendants Marisco's a	nd Montiel have filed an Answer to the			
28	Complaint; and				
	1 FIFTH STIP. EXTENDING TIME FOR DEFENDANT TO RESPOND TO PLAINTIFFS' COMPLAINT AND				
	,				

EXTENDING DATES IN SCHEDULING ORDER; AND [PROPOSED] ORDER THEREON - CV 11-1069 MEJ

1	3.	WHEREAS, the Court has entered four prior Orders extending the dates in the	
2	Scheduling Order based on Stipulations agreed to by the parties.		
3	4.	WHEREAS, the Court's most recent order of July 29, 2011 extended the date in the	
4	Scheduling Order as follows:		
5	Defendant Truong's response to the Complaint due August 11, 2011.		
6	Parties to complete Initial Disclosures by August 12, 2011.		
7	Parties to hold a joint inspection of the premises by August 19, 2011		
8	Parties to meet and confer in person to discuss settlement by August 29, 2011.		
9	Parties to file "Notice of Need for Mediation" by October 4, 2011.		
10	5.	WHEREAS, on July 5, 2011, Defendant Truong made a written settlement offer to	
11	Plaintiffs.		
12	6.	WHEREAS, on July 20, 2011, Plaintiffs made a written counteroffer for settlement to	
13	Defendant Truong.		
14	7.	WHEREAS, on July 26, 2011, Defendant Truong replied with another offer of	
15	settlement.		
16	8.	WHEREAS, Defendant Truong and Plaintiffs continue to actively attempt to negotiat	
17	a settlement in the above-referenced case, and wish to reduce fees, costs and litigation expenses in		
18	doing so.		
19	9.	WHEREAS, the parties believe it would be in the interests of efficiency and economy	
20	to extend the time for Defendant Truong to respond to Plaintiff's Complaint and to further extend fo		
21	30 days or until the next business day if the date falls on a Saturday, Sunday or Court holiday, the		
22	dates in the July 29, 2011 Order.		
23	IT IS STIPULATED that:		
24	1.	Defendant Truong will have up to and including September 12, 2011 to respond to the	
25	Complaint;		
26	2.	The parties will complete initial disclosures by September 12, 2011;	
27	3	The parties will hold a joint inspection of the premises by September 19, 2011:	

28

## Case 3:11-cv-01069-MEJ Document 14 Filed 08/11/11 Page 3 of 4

1	4. The last day for the parties to meet and confer in person to discuss settlement is		
2	September 29, 2011;		
3	5. The last day for Plain	ntiffs to file "Notice of Need for Mediation" is November 4, 2011	
4			
5	DATED: August 11, 2011	THOMAS E. FRANKOVICH,	
6		A Professional Law Corporation Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
7		DAREN HEATHERLY and IRMA RAMIREZ	
8		By: /s/ <i>Thomas E. Frankovich</i> Thomas E. Frankovich	
9	DATED: August 11, 2011	AARON & WILSON, LLP	
10		Attorneys for Defendants MARISCO'S LA JAIBA; MIGUEL PELAYO	
11		MONTIEL, an individual dba MARISCO'S LA JAIBA	
12			
13		By: /s/ Robert S. Aaron Robert S. Aaron	
14	DATED: August 11, 2011	HATCHER & RUNDEL	
15		Attorneys for Defendants MARISCO'S LA JAIBA; MIGUEL PELAYO	
16		MONTIEL, an individual dba MARISCO'S LA JAIBA	
17 18		By: /s/ William W. Hatcher, Jr. William W. Hatcher, Jr.	
19			
20	DATED: August 11, 2011	SPAULDING McCULLOUGH & TANSIL LLP Attorneys for Defendants	
21		XU TRUONG and ANH HOANG, Trustees of the TRUONG/HOANG FAMILY TRUST, U.D.T. dated	
22		March 18, 1997 (erroneously sued herein as AHN HOANG)	
23		By: /s/ Mary P. Derner Mary P. Derner	
24		Mary P. Derner	
25			
26	<u>ORDER</u>		
27	IT IS SO ORDERED that Defendant Truong will have up to and including September 12,		
28	2011 to respond to the Complaint.		
	3		

## Case 3:11-cv-01069-MEJ Document 14 Filed 08/11/11 Page 4 of 4

1	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the parties will complete initial disclosures by		
2	September 12, 2011;		
3	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the parties will hold a joint inspection of the premises by		
4	September 19, 2011.		
5	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the last day for the parties to meet and confer in person to		
6	discuss settlement is September 29, 2011;		
7	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the last day for Plaintiffs to file "Notice of Need for		
8	Mediation" is November 4, 2011.		
9			
10	Dated: August 11 , 2011		
11	THE HONOR BY MARIA-ELENA JAMES United States My Astrate Judge		
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
1	A		